Sci Parasitol 16(3):103-111, September 2015
ISSN 1582-1366

ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

Anaplasma phagocytophilum in ticks and tissues collected from wild birds in Romania

Ioan-Daniel Mărcuţan, Attila D. Sándor✉, Zsuzsa Kalmár, Andrei Daniel Mihalca, Călin Mircea Gherman, Vasile Cozma, Gianluca D'Amico

University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine Cluj-Napoca, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Department of Parasitology and Parasitic Diseases, Calea Mănăştur 3-5, Cluj-Napoca, Romania.
Correspondence: Tel. +40264-596384, Fax +40264-593792, E-mail attila.sandor@usamvcluj.ro

Abstract. *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* are potentially emerging tick-borne pathogen, whereas many issues about ecology, reservoir host specificity, are still unclear. The material analyzed in this study was collected along 5 years (2009-2015) of fieldwork from 88 locations, from 32 out of 42 counties of Romania. A total of 3,794 birds belonging to 125 species were assessed, made up by 879 carcasses and 2,915 alive birds. A total of 278 birds belonging to 37 species were found infested with ticks (9.53%), with individual prevalence ranging from 0 to 50%. *Anaplasma* spp. were detected in 8 cases (1.7%) of 459 analyzed ticks collected from two specimens of Rook one Robin, one Blackbird and one Chaffinch. The ticks found to carry *Anaplasma* spp., were *Haemaphysalis concinna* (1 larvae), *I. arboricola* (4 larvae), and *I. ricinus* (2 larvae and 2 nymphs). Tissue samples resulted in the detection of *Anaplasma* spp. from heart of one Robin and one Song Thrush, with a relative prevalence of 1.66%. The low prevalence of *A. phagocytophilum* in bird-fed ticks corresponds to previous investigations, suggesting that birds have a reduced reservoir competence for human granulocytic anaplasmosis agents.

Keywords: Ticks; *Anaplasma phagocytophilum;* Migrants; *Corvus frugilegus*.

Received 20/08/2015. Accepted 19/09/2015.

Introduction

Anaplasma phagocytophilum is an obligate intracellular Gram-negative bacterium that principally infects granulocytes of various mammalian hosts and humans (Dumler et al., 2001). This is the infectious agent of human granulocytic anaplasmosis (HGA), which was first time described as human granulocytic ehrlichiosis (HGE) in the USA (Chen et al., 1994). Most symptomatic patients report exposure to ticks one to two weeks before the onset of illness and they often complain of shaking chills, myalgia, and headache (Bakken and Dumler, 2008). Its vectors are Ixodidae ticks. Numerous studies have discussed a multitude of different reservoir species for *A. phagocytophilum*, including sheep, deer and small mammals (Liz, 2002; De la Fuente et al., 2008; Ladbury et al., 2008). The disease is a known tick-borne fever of goats, sheep, and cattle, associated with opportunistic infections,

hemorrhage, and abortions (Dumler et al., 2001). Equine granulocytic anaplasmosis (EGA) in horses and canine granulocytic anaplasmosis (CGA) are characterized by fever, depression, anorexia, leucopenia, and thrombocytopenia, frequently with limb oedema and ataxia and opportunistic infections (Dumler et al., 2001). *A. phagocytophilum* is transmitted by ticks of genus *Ixodes* (Stuen et al., 2013). The main vector in Europe is *Ixodes ricinus* (Woldehiwet, 2010). This tick is widespread, using a multitude of hosts and it is also highly prevalent in Romania (Mihalca et al., 2012a; 2012b). The prevalence of *A. phagocytophilum* in a larger representative tick population in Romania has been studied previously, using questing ticks (Matei et al., 2015). Furthermore, molecular and/or serological evidence of *A. phagocytophilum* infection in Romania has been demonstrated in populations of roe deer *(Capreolus capreolus)* and goats (Păduraru et al., 2012), dogs (Mircean et al., 2012), wild boars *(Sus scrofa)* (Kiss et al., 2014), hedgehogs *(Erinaceus roumanicus)* (Dumitrache et al., 2013), tortoises *(Testudo graeca)* (Paştiu et al., 2012) and migratory birds (Mărcuţan et al., 2014). Birds are considered important in the ecology of natural cycle of *A. phagocytophilum*, but their role as reservoir hosts remains unclear (Franke et al., 2010). There are several studies detecting the presence of *A. phagocytophilum* in tissues of birds, with high ranges of prevalence (Ioannou et al., 2009; Hornok et al., 2014), thus underlining the importance of birds as reservoirs. However the situation of their vectorial competence is much more complicated. Migratory birds were found to carry ticks harboring *Anaplasma* spp., with prevalence ranging between 0.8% and 20% (Ioannou et al., 2009; Dubska et al., 2012; Hornok et al., 2014). In most cases the tick species was identified as *I. ricinus* (Hildebrandt et al., 2010; Dubska et al., 2012; Movila et al., 2013; Capligina et al., 2014; Hornok et al., 2014), however also other tick species were confirmed to carry the pathogen, like *I. arboricola* (Palomar et al., 2015) or *I. ventalloi* (Ioannou et al., 2009), as carriers of *Anaplasma* spp. There is no comprehensive study on the circulation of *Anaplasma* spp. in ticks carried by wildlife in Romania. The aim of the present study was to investigate, by molecular testing, the prevalence of *A. phagocytophilum* in ticks feeding on birds and the possible role played by birds as zoonotic reservoirs of this pathogen.

Materials and methods

The material analyzed in this study was collected along 5 years (2009-2015) of fieldwork from 88 locations, from 32 out of 42 counties of Romania. Ticks were collected from alive and dead birds also. Birds alive were captured using mistnets and traps, while dead birds were mostly road casualties as well birds which died naturally from intoxication with CO above fumaroles (small springs in regions with volcanic activities) (Barti, 1999). A significant number of bird corpses analysed came from animal pest reduction activities of hunting associations (corvid culling activities).

Live birds were captured in suitable locations in a multitude of habitats, like seashore brackish wetlands, reedbeds, streams, dry and wet grasslands, agricultural and urban areas, hedges, thickets, and forests using mistnets. Captured birds were identified to species and after screened for external parasites they were released at the capture site (Sándor et al., 2014).

Corpses found along roadside or received from hunting associations were stored in individual plastic bags and kept on dry-ice till transported into the necropsy lab of USAMV. Fumaroles of Ciomad and Malnas (Covasna county) were visited twice monthly in the period March 2010 – December 2011 and all fresh carcasses were collected in individual plastic bags and stored frozen till analysis.

Each bird was routinely checked on the head, temples, nape and body for ticks, which were removed using forceps and preserved in absolute ethanol for later examination using a separate vial for each bird. Ticks were identified using morphological features under a stereo microscope to species, developmental stage and sex in adults (Feider, 1965; Nosek and Sixl, 1972; Heylen et al., 2014).

All bird carcasses were sampled for tissue samples in the necropsy room. The bodies

were dissected by removing the following organs: heart, liver, kidneys and spleen. Tissue samples were stored appropriately marked in the freezer at -18°C before the molecular processing by PCR. During necropsies the instruments were washed and disinfected after each case to avoid contamination.

DNA extraction and PCR

DNA extraction was performed with using a commercial DNA extraction kit (DNAEasyBlood & Tissue Kit, Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's recommendations. The DNA quantity and purity of DNA were assessed using spectrophotometer analyses (NanoDrop Technologies model ND-1000 Inc., Wilmington, De, USA). Briefly, each tick and tissue sample was submitted to DNA extraction and to polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using 10 pmol/µl from each primer (forward: 5'–AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG – 3', reverse: 5' – GTTAAGCCCTGGTATTTCAC –3') to amplify a 577-basepair fragment of the 16S ribosomal RNA using 2x Green Master Mix (RovalabGmBH). The PCR reaction was done performed according to the protocol described in literature by Noaman and Shayan (2009). For quality control of the reactions, positive and negative controls were included. Amplicons were visualized by electrophoresis in 1. 5% agarose gel stained with SYBR ®Safe DNA gel stain (Invitrogen).

Results

A total of 3,794 birds belonging to 125 species were assessed, made up by 879 carcasses and 2,915 a live birds. A total of 278 birds belonging to 37 species were found infested with ticks (9.53%), with individual prevalence ranging from 0 to 50%. Nine different tick species were identified (table 1), with 230 larvae, 209 nymphs and 20 adults (4 males and 16 females). A number of 459 ticks, and a number of 180 tissue samples collected from 120 birds belonging to 37 species were used for *Anaplasma* spp. identification (table 2).

Anaplasma spp. were detected in 8 cases (1.7%) of 459 analyzed ticks collected from two specimens of Rook *(C. frugilegus),* one Robin *(Erithacus rubecula),* one Blackbird *(Turdus merula)* and one Chaffinch *(Fringilla coelebs)* (table 1). Both Rooks birds belong to resident breeding population of the species in Sebeş, Central Romania (45.944896N; 23.562125E), while the rest were autumn migrants caught in the Danube Delta. The ticks found to carry *Anaplasma* spp., were *Haemaphysalis concinna* (1 larvae), *I. arboricola* (4 larvae), and *I. ricinus* (2 larvae and 2 nymphs).

Tissue samples resulted in the detection of *Anaplasma* spp. from heart of one Robin and one Song Thrush *(Turdus philomelos),* with a relative prevalence of 1.66%. Both individuals were found as roadkills during migratory seasons in SE Romania *(E. rubecula* in Babadag in spring 2011, coordinates: 44.871067N; 28.688699E, while *T. philomelos* in Grindul Lupilor, autumn 2011, coordinates: 44.695351N; 28.939380E). In all cases we identified *A. phagocytophilum.*

Discussion

The knowledge on the presence of *A. phagocytophilum* in Romania is limited. Matei et al. (2015) published a survey in questing *I. ricinus* collected from 113 locations in the country. They found a prevalence of 2.3% in more than 10,000 ticks (Matei et al., 2015). Host feeding ticks collected from domestic animals were studied by Ioniţă et al. (2013) in the southeastern part of Romania and they detected a prevalence of 6.7%. Also, in southern Romania a study targeting large herbivores found a prevalence of 1.3% in *I. ricinus* ticks collected from these hosts (Păduraru et al., 2012). Dumitrache et al (2013) surveyed the ticks of hedgehogs *(Erinaceus roumanicus)* and recorded a prevalance of 12% in *I. ricinus* ticks hosted by these mammals. An even higher prevalence (18.8%) was found in *Hyalomma aegyptium* feeding on tortoises in SE Romania, by Paştiu et al. (2012). Another recent study highlighted the importance of game species in the ecology of *A. phagocytophilum* in western Romania (Kiss et al., 2014). Up to our knowledge this is the first contribution to the elucidation of *A. phagocytophilum* occurrence in ticks hosted by birds and in bird tissues in Romania.

Table 1. List of host species, numbers analysed, ticks and prevalences found

Host species	No. of birds examined	No. of ticks infested	Prevalence %	Ticks species
Acrocephalus arundinaceus	5	1	20	*R. sanguineus* 9F
Carduelis carduelis	9	1	11.1	*I. redikorzevi* 1F
Carduelis chloris	45	1	2.2	*I. ricinus* 1L
Coccothraustes coccothraustes	86	4	4.7	*I. ricinus* 28N, 1L, *I. redikorzevi* 1L
Corvus frugilegus	215	35	16.3	*H. punctata* 3F, 1M, 6N, 18L, *H. concinna* 9L, *I. arboricola* 23L, *I. ricinus* 1N, 1L, *H. parva* 1N, 10L
Corvus monedula	56	15	26.8	*H. punctata* 1M, 1N, 2L
Crex crex	48	7	14.6	*I. ricinus* 19N
Emberiza schoeniclus	11	1	9.1	*I. ricinus* 1L
Erithacus rubecula	443	43	9.7	*I. ricinus,*1F, 96N, 80L, *I. arboricola,* 3N, 3L *I. redikorzevi,*1N, 2L, *H. punctata* 2N
Ficedula albicollis	10	1	10.0	*I. ricinus* 1N
Ficedula hypoleuca	13	2	15.4	*I. ricinus* 3L
Fringilla coelebs	65	4	6.2	*I. ricinus,* 1L, 2N, *I. redikorzevi* 1N, 1L
Fringilla montifringilla	33	1	3.0	*I. ricinus* 1N
Garrulus glandarius	24	2	8.3	*I. ricinus* 2N
Luscinia megarynchos	2	1	50.0	*I. ricinus* 1L
Motacilla flava	2	1	50.0	*H. marginatum* 4N
Muscicapa striata	15	3	20.0	*I. ricinus* 1L, *I. arboricola* 6N, *H. marginatum* 4N
Panurus biarmicus	306	2	0.7	*I. ricinus* 1L, *I. redikorzevi* 1L
Parus caeruleus	50	3	6.0	*I. ricinus* 1L, *I. redikorzevi* 2N, *I. arboricola* 1N, 2L
Parus major	194	25	12.9	*I. ricinus* 11N, 21L, *I. arboricola* 1F, 4N, 1L, *I. redikorzevi* 1N, 2L
Passer montanus	115	1	0.9	*I. ricinus* 8L
Perdix perdix	6	1	16.7	*I. ricinus* 1L
Phoenicurus ochruros	42	1	2.4	*I. ricinus* 1N
Phoenicurus phoenicurus	14	3	21.4	*I. ricinus* 1L, *I. arboricola,* 197N, 17L, *I. redikorzevi* 3L
Phylloscopus collybita	135	1	0.7	*I. ricinus* 1L
Pica pica	50	7	14.0	*I. ricinus* 2F, 14N, 53L, *H. punctata* 1M, 1N, 1L, *I. redikorzevi* 2N, 1L
Prunella modularis	16	1	6.3	*I. ricinus* 5N
Regulus regulus	51	2	3.9	*I. ricinus* 1N, 1L
Remiz pendulinus	5	1	20.0	*I. arboricola* 1N
Riparia riparia	257	23	8.9	*I. lividus* 78L
Strix aluco	15	1	6.7	*I. arboricola* 2N, 36L
Sturnus vulgaris	51	6	11.8	*I. ricinus,* 3N, 6L, *I. arboricola* 2L

Table 1 (continuation)

Host species	No. of birds examined	No. of ticks infested	Prevalence %	Ticks species
Sylvia curruca	37	2	5.4	*H. marginatum,* 4N, *I. ricinus,* 1L
Troglodytes troglodytes	50	4	8.0	*I. ricinus* 2N, 6L
Turdus merula	185	50	27.0	*I. ricinus* 7F, 104N, 36L, *I. arboricola* 6N, *I. redikorzevi* 2F, *H. concinna* 2L
Turdus philomelos	126	19	15.1	*I. ricinus*, 7F, 71N, 47L, *I. arboricola* 1N, 5L, *H. punctata* 1N
Turdus pilaris	20	2	10.0	*I. ricinus* 6N, 2L

Table 2. Species and number of birds for which tissue samples were analysed for *Anaplasma* spp. detection

Species	No. birds corpses	Heart	Liver	Spleen	Kidney	No. of samples analysed (positive)
Accipiter nisus	1	1	1	1	1	2 (0)
Asio otus	6	5	5	2	4	5 (0)
Bucephala clangula	1	1	1	0	0	2 (0)
Buteo buteo	8	8	8	5	8	8 (0)
Carduelis spinus	1	1	1	1	1	4 (0)
Ciconia ciconia	1	1	1	1	0	2 (0)
Coccothraustes coccothraustes	3	3	3	1	3	8 (0)
Columba livia	1	1	1	0	1	2 (0)
Coracias garrulus	2	2	2	0	0	4 (0)
Corvus corone cornix	2	2	2	0	2	4 (0)
Corvus frugilesus	2	2	2	0	2	4 (0)
Corvus monedula	2	2	2	0	2	4 (0)
Crex crex	4	0	0	0	0	3 (0)
Erithacus rubecula	5	5	5	1	3	6 (1)
Falco tinnunculus	2	1	1	0	1	2 (0)
Fringilla coelebs	10	7	7	2	4	10 (0)
Garrulus glandarius	2	2	2	2	1	2 (0)
Lanius collurio	1	1	1	0	0	2 (0)
Mergus merganser	1	1	1	0	0	2 (0)
Muscardinus avellanarius	2	2	2	0	2	4 (0)
Parus caeruleus	1	0	1	1	1	2 (0)
Parus major	11	11	11	4	10	25 (0)
Parus palustris	1	1	1	0	1	3 (0)
Passer domesticus	12	11	11	1	3	17 (0)
Passer hispaniolensis	1	1	1	0	0	2 (0)
Passer montanus	1	1	1	0	0	2 (0)
Phasianus colchicus	1	1	1	0	0	2 (0)
Phoenicuros phoenicuros	2	2	2	0	0	4 (0)

Table 2 (continuation)

Species	No. birds corpses	Heart	Liver	Spleen	Kidney	No. of samples analysed (positive)
Phoenicurus ochruros	6	5	5	0	5	10 (0)
Phylloscopus collybita	2	1	1	1	0	3 (0)
Pica pica	6	6	6	4	6	12 (0)
Strix aluco	2	2	2	1	1	6 (0)
Sylvia atricapila	1	1	1	0	0	2 (0)
Sylvia communis	1	1	1	0	0	2 (0)
Troglodytes troglodytes	1	1	1	0	0	2 (0)
Turdus merula	2	1	2	1	1	5 (0)
Turdus philomelos	4	3	2	1	1	5 (1)
Turdus pilaris	2	2	2	0	0	4 (0)
Total	**120**					**180 (2)**

We found a low prevalence (1.7%) in ticks, which is in line with most reports all over Europe (Hildebrandt et al., 2010; 2011; Dubska et al., 2012; Capligina et al., 2014; Hornok et al., 2014). Ticks came from resident breeding birds (rooks) and migrants. Rooks (and other corvids) are listed here as new hosts for *A. phagocytophilum* infested ticks. While the role of corvids as tick hosts and associated pathogens is well known worldwide (Gratz, 2006; Yong et al., 2008; Reiter, 2010; Moskvitina et al., 2014), up to now the presence of *A. phagocytophilum* was not associated to this host group.

Migrant small passerines are commonly listed as hosts of *A. phagocytophilum* infested ticks (Hildebrandt et al., 2010; 2011; Dubska et al., 2012; Capligina et al., 2014; Hornok et al., 2014). All three species found to carry ticks with *A. phagocytophilum* DNA were already know carriers of this pathogen, with robins and blackbirds suggested as reservoirs for *A. phagocytophilum* (Palomar et al., 2009; Hildebrandt et al., 2010; Hornok et al., 2014).

While *A. phagocytophilum* is usually associated with ticks of the genus *Ixodes*, and primarily with *I. ricinus* and *I. trianguliceps* in Europe (Bown et al., 2008), all the ticks species involved in this study are known to carry this pathogen (Dantas-Torres et al., 2012). *I. ricinus* is considered as the main vector and in most studies on bird-fed ticks, this species is reported as host. *I. arboricola* was already found infested by *A. phagocytophilum* in Slovakia (Spitalská et al., 2011), while *A. phagocytophilum* DNA was detected in *H. concinna* in China (Cao et al., 2006).

The low prevalence of *A. phagocytophilum* in bird-fed ticks corresponds to previous investigations, suggesting that birds have a reduced reservoir competence for human granulocytic anaplasmosis agents (Skotarczak et al., 2006, but see Ioannou et al., 2009; Hornok et al., 2014). Nevertheless, migrating birds might be important for the dispersal of *A. phagocytophilum* as shown by several studies. In the case of Romania ticks carrying *A. phagocytophilum* DNA were found not only in migrants, but also in corvids residents and breeding in urban environments, which highlight the importance of synanthropic birds in the eco-epidemiology of *A. phagocytophilum* circulation.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to ARBDD for issuing the research permits when sampling on their jurisdiction. This research was supported for ADM, SAD and GDA from grant PCE 236/2011. This study was conducted under the frame of the EurNegVec COST Action TD1303. MID and KZ work was financed by POSDRU grant no. 159/1.5/S/136893 grant with title: "Parteneriat strategic pentru creşterea calităţii cercetării stiinţifice din universităţile medicale

prin acordarea de burse doctorale si postdoctorale – DocMed.Net 2.0".

References

Bakken J.S., Dumler J.S. 2008. Human granulocytic anaplasmosis. Infect. Dis. Clin. North Am. 22:433-448.

Barti L. 1999. The Batvictims of the Natural Gas Break-offs at the Büdöshegy, Torja – Turia, Covasna County. Acta Siculica 1:103.

Bown K.J., Lambin X., Telford G.R., Ogden N.H., Telfer S., Woldehiwet Z., Birtles R.J. 2008. Relative importance of *Ixodes ricinus* and *Ixodes trianguliceps* as vectors for *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* and *Babesia microti* in field vole *(Microtus agrestis)* populations. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 74:7118-7125.

Cao W.C., Zhan L., He J., Foley J.E., De Vlas S. J., Wu X.M., Yang H., Richardus J.H., Habbema J.D.F. 2006. Natural *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* infection of ticks and rodents from a forest area of Jilin Province, China. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 75(4):664-668.

Capligina V., Salmane I., Keišs O., Vilks K., Japina K., Baumanis V., Ranka R. 2014. Prevalence of tick-borne pathogens in ticks collected from migratory birds in Latvia. Ticks and tick-borne diseases 5(1):75-81.

Chen S.M., Dumler J.S., Bakken J.S., Walker D.H. 1994. Identification of a granulocytotropic *Ehrlichia* species as the etiologic agent of human disease. J. Clin. Microbiol. 32:589-595.

Dantas-Torres F., Chomel B.B., Otranto D. 2012. Ticks and Tick-borne Diseases: a One Health perspective. Trends Parasitol. 28(10):437-446.

De la Fuente J., Ruiz-Fons F., Naranjo V., Torina A., Rodriguez O., Gortazar C. 2008. Evidence of *Anaplasma* infections in European roe deer *(Capreolus capreolus)* from southern Spain. Res. Vet. Sci. 84:382-386.

Dubska L., Literak I., Kverek P., Roubalova E., Kocianova E., Taragelova V. 2012. Tick-borne zoonotic pathogens in ticks feeding on the common nightingale including a novel strain of *Rickettsia* sp. Ticks and Tick-borne Diseases 3(4):265-268.

Dumitrache M.O., Paştiu A.I., Kalmár Z., Mircean V., Sándor A.D., Gherman C.M., Cozma V. 2013. Northern white-breasted hedgehogs *Erinaceus roumanicus* as hosts for ticks infected with *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu lato and *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* in Romania. Ticks and Tick-borne Diseases 4:214-217.

Dumler J.S., Barbet A.F., Bekker C.P.J., Dasch G.A., Palmer G.H., Ray S.C., Rikihisa Y., Rurangirwa F.R. 2001. Reorganisation of the genera of the families Rickettsiaceae and Anaplasmataceae in the order Rickettsiales: unification of some species of *Ehrlichia* with *Anaplasma, Cowdria* with *Ehrlichia* and *Ehrlichia* with *Neorickettsia,* descriptions of six new combinations and designations of *Ehrlichia equi* and 'HGE agent' as subjective synonyms of *Ehrlichia phagocytophila.* Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 51:2145-2165.

Feider Z. 1965. Arachnida. Acaromorpha, Suprafamily Ixodoidea (Ticks), Fauna of the Peoples Republic of Romania [in Romanian]. Bucharest, Editura Academiei Republicii Populare Române.

Franke J., Meier F., Moldenhauer A., Straube E., Dorn W., Hildebrandt A. 2010. Established and emerging pathogens in *Ixodes ricinus* ticks collected from birds on a conservation island in the Baltic Sea. Med. Vet. Entomol. 24(4):425-432.

Gratz N. 2006. Vector- and rodent-borne diseases in Europe and North America: distribution, public health burden, and control. Cambridge University Press.

Heylen D., De Coninck E., Jansen F., Madder M. 2014. Differential diagnosis of three common *Ixodes* spp. ticks infesting songbirds of Western Europe: *Ixodes arboricola, I. frontalis* and *I. ricinus*. Ticks and Tick-borne Diseases 5(6):693-700.

Hildebrandt A., Franke J., Meier F., Sachse S., Dorn W., Straube E. 2010. The potential role of migratory birds in transmission cycles of *Babesia* spp., *Anaplasma phagocytophilum*, and *Rickettsia* spp. Ticks and Tick-borne Diseases 1(2):105-107.

Hildebrandt A., Fritzsch J., Franke J., Sachse S., Dorn W., Straube E. 2011. Co-circulation of emerging tick-borne pathogens in Middle Germany. Vector-Borne and Zoonotic Diseases 11(5):533-537.

Hornok S., Kováts D., Csörgő T., Meli M.L., Gönczi E., Hadnagy Z., Takács N., Farkas R., Hofmann-Lehmann R. 2014. Birds as potential reservoirs of tick-borne pathogens: first evidence of bacteraemia with *Rickettsia helvetica*. Parasit. Vectors 7:128.

Ioannou I., Chochlakis D., Kasinis N., Anayiotos P., Lyssandrou A., Papadopoulos B., Tselentis Y., Psaroulaki A. 2009. Carriage of *Rickettsia* spp., *Coxiella burnetii* and *Anaplasma* spp. by endemic and migratory wild birds and their ectoparasites in Cyprus. Clin. Microbiol. Infec. 15:158-160.

Ioniță M., Mitrea I.L., Pfister K., Hamel D., Silaghi C. 2013. Molecular evidence for bacterial and protozoan pathogens in hard ticks from Romania. Vet. Parasitol. 196:71-76.

Kiss T., Cadar D., Krupaci F.A., Bordeanu A.D., Spînu M. 2014. Prevalence of *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* infection in European wild boar *Sus scrofa* populations from Transylvania, Romania. Epidemiol. Infect. 142(02):246-250.

Ladbury G.A., Stuen S., Thomas R., Bown K.J., Woldehiwet Z., Granquist E.G., Bergström K., Birtles R.J. 2008. Dynamic transmission of numerous *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* genotypes among lambs in an infected sheep flock in an area of anaplasmosis endemicity. J. Clin. Microbiol. 46:1686-1691.

Liz J.S. 2002. Ehrlichiosis in *Ixodes ricinus* and wild mammals. Int. J. Med. Microbiol. 291 (Suppl. 33):104-105.

Matei I.A., Kalmár Z., Magdaş C., Magdaş V., Toriay H., Dumitrache M.O., Ionică A.M., D'Amico G., Sándor A.D., Mărcuţan D.I., Domşa C., Gherman C.M., Mihalca A.D. 2015. *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* in questing *Ixodes ricinus* ticks from Romania. Ticks and Tick-borne Diseases 6(3):408-413.

Mărcuţan I.D., Sándor A.D., Mihalca A.D., Gherman C.M., Kalmár Z., D'Amico G., Dumitrache M.O., Cozma V. 2014. Prevalence of *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* inticks collected from migratory birds in Danube Delta, Romania. Parasit. Vectors 7 (Suppl. 1):16.

Mihalca A.D., Dumitrache M.O., Magdaş C., Gherman C.M., Domşa C., Mircean V., Ghira I.V., Pocora V., Ionescu D.T., Sikó Barabási S., Cozma V., Sándor A.D. 2012a. Synopsis of the hard ticks Acari: *Ixodidae* of Romania with update onhost associations and geographical distribution. Exp. Appl. Acarol. 58:183-206.

Mihalca A.D., Gherman C.M., Magdaş C., Dumitrache M.O., Györke A., Sándor A.D., Domşa C., Oltean M., Mircean V., Mărcuţan D.I., D'Amico G., Păduraru A.O., Cozma V. 2012b. *Ixodes ricinus* is the dominant questing tick in forest habitats in Romania: the results from a countrywide dragging campaign. Exp. Appl. Acarol. 58:175-182.

Mircean V., Dumitrache M.O., Györke A., Pantchev N., Jodies R., Mihalca A.D., Cozma V. 2012. Seroprevalence and geographic distribution of *Dirofilaria immitis* and tick-borne infections *Anaplasma phagocytophilum, Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu lato, and *Ehrlichia canis* in dogs from Romania. Vector-Borne Zoonot. 12:595-604.

Moskvitina N.S., Korobitsyn I.G., Tyuten'kov O.Y., Gashkov S.I., Kononova Y.V., Moskvitin S.S., Romanenko V., Mikryukova T.P., Protopopova E., Kartashov M., Chausov E.V., Konovalova S.N., Tupota N.L., Sementsova A.O., Ternovoi V.A., Loktev V.B. 2014. The role of birds in the maintenance of tick-borne infections in the Tomsk anthropurgic foci. Biology Bull. 41(4):387-393.

Movila A., Alekseev A.N., Dubinina H.V., Toderas I. 2013. Detection of tick-borne pathogens in ticks from migratory birds in the Baltic region of Russia. Med. Vet. Entomol. 27(1):113-117.

Noaman V., Shayan P. 2009. A new PCR-RFLP method for detection of *Anaplasma marginale* based on 16S rRNA. Vet. Res. Commun. 34(1):43-50.

Nosek J., Sixl W. 1972. Central-European ticks (Ixodoidea). Mitteilungen der Abteilung fur Zoologie und Botanik am Landesmuseum Joanneum 1:61-92.

Palomar A.M., Santibáñez P., Mazuelas D., Roncero L., Santibáñez S., Portillo A., Oteo J.A. 2009. Role of birds in dispersal of etiologic agents of tick-borne zoonoses, Spain, 2009. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 18(7):1188-1191.

Palomar A.M., Portillo A., Santibáñez P., Mazuelas D., Roncero L., García-Álvarez L., Santibáñez S., Gutiérrez Ó., Oteo J.A. 2015. Detection of tick-borne *Anaplasma bovis*, *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* and *Anaplasma centrale* in Spain. Med. Vet. Entomol. 29:349-353.

Paştiu A.I., Matei I.A., Mihalca A.D., D'Amico G., Dumitrache M.O., Kalmár Z., Sándor A.D., Gherman C.M., Cozma V. 2012. Zoonotic pathogens associatedwith *Hyalomma aegyptium* in endangered tortoises: evidence for host-switchingbehaviour in ticks? Parasit. Vectors 5:301.

Păduraru O.A., Buffet J.P., Cote M., Bonnet S., Moutailler S., Paduraru V., Femenia F., Eloit M., Savuta G., Vayssier-Taussat M. 2012. Zoonotic transmission of pathogens by *Ixodes ricinus* ticks, Romania. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 18(12):2089-2090.

Reiter P. 2010. West Nile virus in Europe: understanding the present to gauge the future. Euro Surveill. 15(10):19508.

Sándor A.D., Mărcuţan D.I., D'Amico G., Gherman C.M., Dumitrache M.O., Mihalca A.D. 2014. Do the ticks of birds at an important migratory hotspot reflect the seasonal dynamics of Ixodes ricinus at the migration initiation site? A case study in the Danube Delta. PLoS One. 9(2):e89378.

Skotarczak B., Rymaszewska A., Wodecka B., Sawczuk M., Adamska M., Maciejewska A. 2006. PCR detection of granulocytic *Anaplasma* and *Babesia* in *Ixodes ricinus* ticks and birds in west-central Poland. Ann. Agric. Environ. Med. 13:21-23.

Spitalská E., Literák I., Kocianová E., Taragel'ová V. 2011. The importance of *Ixodes arboricola* in transmission of *Rickettsia* spp., *Anaplasma phagocytophilum*, and *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu lato in the Czech Republic, Central

Europe. Vector-Borne Zoonot. 11(9):1235-1241.

Stuen S., Granquist E.G., Silaghi C. 2013. *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* – a widespread multi-host pathogen with highly adaptive strategies. Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol. 3:31.

Woldehiwet Z. 2010. The natural history of *Anaplasma phagocytophilum*. Vet. Parasitol. 167:108-122.

Yong L.H., Ambu S., Devi S., Maung M. 2008. Detection of protozoan and bacterial pathogens of public health importance in faeces of *Corvus* spp. (large-billed crow). Trop. Biomed. 25(2):134-139.